### Colusa Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan

Update on Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Water Budget Development

> Davids Engineering April 12, 2019

### **GSP Basin Setting Components**

(Regulations Subarticle 2)

- Introduction to Basin Setting (§354.12)
- Hydrogeological Conceptual Model (§354.14)
- Groundwater Conditions (§354.16)
- Water Budget (§354.18)
- Management Areas (§354.20)

CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS TITLE 23. WATERS DIVISION 2. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES CHAPTER 1.5. GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SUBCHAPTER 2. GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLANS ARTICLE 1. Introductory Provisions § 350. Authority and Purpose These regulations specify the components of groundwater sustainability plans, alternatives to groundwater sustainability plans, and coordination agreements prepared pursuant to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (Part 2.74 of Division 6 of the Water Code, beginning with Section 10720), and the methods and criteria used by the Department to evaluate those plans, alternatives, and coordination agreements, and information required by the Department to facilitate that evaluation. Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code. Reference: Sections 10733.2 and 10733.4, Water Code. § 350.2. Applicability (a) The process and standards for an Agency to develop and submit a Plan for evaluation by the Department, and for Department evaluation of that Plan and its implementation, as described in these regulations, are also applicable to multiple Agencies developing multiple Flans, as described in Article 8, and to entities submitting Alternatives, as described in (b) Unless as otherwise noted, section references in these regulations refer to this Note: Authority cited: Section 10733.2, Water Code. Reference: Sections 10727.6, 10733.2, 10733.4, and 10733.6, Water Code. § 350.4. General Principles Consistent with the State's interest in groundwater sustainability through local management, the following general principles shall guide the Department in the (a) Groundwater conditions must be adequately defined and monitored to demonstrate that a Plan is achieving the sustainability goal for the basin, and the Department will evaluate the level of detail provided considering the basin setting. (b) To comply with the Department's statutory mandate to evaluate Plans, Plan implementation, and the effect on Plan implementation on adjacent basins, Plan content information must be sufficiently detailed and readily comparable. 1

# Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model (HCM)

- Drafted under Prop 1 Counties with Stressed Basins Grants (completed in 2018)
- Next Steps
  - Evaluate and refine HCM based on comparison to selected Integrated Hydrologic Model (IHM)
  - Identify uncertainties and potential refinements
  - Prepare updated HCM section for GSP



### Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model

- Basin Boundary
- Topography
- Geology
- Principal Aquifers
- Soil Characteristics
- Recharge Areas
- Water Sources





### Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model

#### **Geologic Cross Sections**



### **Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model**

**3D Geologic Model** 



### **Groundwater Conditions**

- Substantial Information Gathered through Stressed Basins Grant
  - Groundwater elevation maps
  - Hydrographs
  - Land subsidence
  - Interconnected surface waters and depletions
  - Groundwater dependent ecosystems
- Additional Information
  - Annual and cumulative change in groundwater storage

### **Groundwater Conditions**

#### Next Steps

- Update based on most recent information
  - Updated groundwater levels
  - Updated subsidence survey
- Incorporate changes in groundwater storage
  - Requires updated groundwater levels and/or IHM results

### Water Budget

- Prior Efforts
  - Agricultural Water Management Plans and special studies
  - Pre-GSP SGMA efforts
  - Review of existing models (C2VSimFG) and comparison to local data
  - Coordination with DWR to incorporate local data
- Next Steps
  - Select IHM for local refinement
  - Identify and prioritize refinements
  - Implement refinements
  - Develop historical, current, and future water budgets

### **Model Evaluation**

- Evaluate existing/forthcoming Integrated Hydrologic Models (IHM)
- Objective of leveraging existing information
- Develop and apply evaluation criteria
- Recommend IHM for local refinement



Model Component	SVSim	C2VSimFG	СVНМ				
Availability	December 2019???	May 2018 (Beta), April 2019 (Beta v. 2)	December 2019???				
Simulation Period	1922-2015	1922-2015	1962-2003 → 1962-2013 (forward run 1921-2013)				
Land Use Refinement	<ul> <li>DWR Land Use Survey</li> <li>Cropland Data/Cropscape (Satellite Data)</li> <li>2014 Statewide Land Use Data</li> <li>Ag Commissioner Reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DWR Land Use Survey</li> <li>Cropland Data/Cropscape (Satellite Data)</li> <li>2014 Statewide Land Use Data</li> <li>Ag Commissioner Reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DWR Land Use Survey</li> <li>Other Historical Land Use Maps</li> <li>Ag Commissioner Reports</li> </ul>				
Managed Wetlands	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
Surface Water Diversions at Water District Level	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓				
Model Code	IWFM	IWFM	MODFLOW-OWHM				
Aquifer Parameters Basis	DWR's Texture Model	DWR's Texture Model	USGS's Texture Model				
Other Improvements	9 layers, Model Refinement along Streams	4 Layers, Stream Data from Flood Studies	15 Layers, Stream Data based on C2VSim Data, Well Locations				

### Initial Evaluation of C2VSimFG

- Meeting with DWR C2VSimFG developers at GCID
- Invited to provide local information (e.g. land use, diversions, etc.) for incorporation into next model release by DWR
- Provided updated land use and diversion information

#### **Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin Land Use Comparison**









Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019 **DRAFT** 

#### Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin SRSC Land Use Comparison









Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019

## Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin Water Budget

#### **Precipitation**



#### **Evapotranspiration**





#### **Deep Percolation**



#### **Groundwater Pumping**



#### <u>Runoff</u>



Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019

#### Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin SRSC Water Budget Comparisons

#### **Precipitation**



#### **Evapotranspiration**





#### **Deep Percolation**



#### Groundwater Pumping



#### <u>Runoff</u>



Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019 DRAFT

#### Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin TCCA Water Budget Comparisons

#### **Precipitation**



#### 

#### **Deep Percolation**



#### Groundwater Pumping



#### <u>Runoff</u>



Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019

#### **Evapotranspiration**



DRAFT

#### Glenn County – Colusa Subbasin GW Only Water Budget Comparisons

#### **Precipitation**



#### **Evapotranspiration**





#### **Deep Percolation**



#### **Groundwater Pumping**



#### <u>Runoff</u>



Colusa Subbasin HCM and Water Budget Development April 12, 2019

### **Other Water Budget Activities**

- Coordination with Yolo County FCWCD regarding RD108 water budget
  - Provided prior water budget estimates and discussed data/assumptions
  - Follow up once draft water budgets developed for Colusa Subbasin to develop consistency along basin boundary

#### Coordination with EDF OpenET Project

- Participating in ongoing discussion of use of satellite ET data for water budget development
- Requested sample data for 2014 for comparison to existing estimates

### Water Budget Next Steps

- Compare local data to next release of C2VSimFG
- Identify and prioritize refinements
- Implement refinements and calibrate model
- Develop historical water budget
- Develop current conditions and future conditions baseline scenarios
  - Land use
  - Population change
  - Climate change
  - Other factors
- Develop current conditions and future conditions water budgets

### **Schedule Overview**

		20	18			2019						2020										
Task	Α	S	0	Ν	J	F	Μ	Α	Μ	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	Μ	Α	Μ	J
Hydrogeologic									L													
Conceptual Model																						
Groundwater									Ľ													
Conditions									I													
Water Budgets																						
Integrated																						
Hydrologic Model																						

- IHM development delayed in anticipation of next release of C2VSimFG; water budgets to follow
- HCM updates can be initiated in concert with IHM refinements

### Discussion

## Model Evaluation

	Model/Application							
	C2VSim-FG	SVSim	CVHM	SacFEM2013				
Criteria Based on BMP Guiding Principles and General Modeling Requirements <sup>(a)</sup>								
Model code is publicly available at no cost and complete modeling platform (input and output files and executables) can be provided to DWR at no cost	10	10	10	0				
Model code has been peer reviewed for the intended use	10	10	10	0				
Model has publicly available supporting documentation, including explanation of the model code (physical processes simulated, mathematical equations, and assumptions) and model application (conceptual model, application development, assumptions, inputs, etc.)	10	10	10	0				
Models developed after effective date of GSP regulations (August 15, 2016) must use public domain open-source software	10	10	10	0				
Spatial extent of the model application covers entire subbasin at a minimum <sup>(b)</sup>	10	10	10	10				
Score Based on Binary Criteria	50	50	50	10				
Criteria Based on BMP Modeling Considerations <sup>(c)</sup>								
Application capable of evaluating each sustainability indicator and the potential presence of and magnitude of undesi	irable results in th	ne basin, ir	cluding:					
Lowering of Groundwater Levels	3	3	3	3				
Reduction of Groundwater Storage	3	3	3	3				
Seawater Intrusion	Not applicable in the Glenn County Subbasins							
Degraded Water Quality	1	1	1	1				
Land Subsidence	3	3	3	1				
Depletion of Interconnected Surface Water	2	3	1	1				
Model application supports development of water budgets	2	3	1	2				
Model application capable of forecasting future conditions, such as reduction of surface water supplies, changes in land use and associated water demands, the effects of climate change, and quantifying the uncertainty in these predictions	3	3	3	2				
Model application capable of demonstrating how selected projects and management actions will achieve the sustainability goal within 20 years of GSP implementation	3	3	3	2				
Model application capable of identifying data gaps and monitoring needs	2	3	1	2				
Model application capable of assessing impacts on adjacent basins	2	3	1	2				
Model application adaptable to refined hydrogeologic interpretations and incorporation of additional data.	3	3	3	3				
Model application capable of simulating forecast changes in agricultural practices, including changes in crop types, irrigation practices, irrigation water source, etc.	3	3	2	3				
Model application capable of efficiently and effectively conveying simulation outputs, either directly or with post- processing tools	3	3	3	2				
Score Based on Gradational Criteria	33	37	28	27				
Total Score	83	87	78	37				